

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/890,086	11/26/2001	Gerhard Schnabel	514413-3884	6212	
20999	7590 03/07/2003				
FROMMER LAWRENCE & HAUG			EXAMINER		
745 FIFTH A' NEW YORK,	VENUE- 10TH FL. NY 10151		CLARDY, S		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1616	8	
			DATE MAILED: 03/07/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.

Applicant(s) 09/890,086

Schnabel et al

Examiner

Art Unit S. Mark Clardy 1616

Office Action Summary

	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover shee	t with	the correspondence address
Period 1	for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.				
	ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In	no event, however, may	a reply	be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the
	rdate of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within th	e statutory minimum of	thirty (3	0) days will be considered timely.
	period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply a to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause th	•		
•	ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	his communication, ever	n if timel	γ filed, may reduce any
Status	patent term adjustment. See 37 CTT 1.704(b).			
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Dec 31, 2</u>	001		
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) \overline{X} This act	ion is non-final.		
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for allowance eclosed in accordance with the practice under Ex pair	•		•
Disposi	tion of Claims			
4) X	Claim(s) <u>1-18</u>			is/are pending in the application.
4	a) Of the above, claim(s)			is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) 🗆	Claim(s)			is/are allowed.
6) 🗌	Claim(s)			is/are rejected.
7) 🗆	Claim(s)			is/are objected to.
8) 💢	Claims <u>1-18</u>	are s	ubject	t to restriction and/or election requirement.
Applica	tion Papers			
9) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	a) 🗆 accepted	or b)	\square objected to by the Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the d	rawing(s) be held	in abe	eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a	ı) 🗌 :	approved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply t	to this Office actio	on.	
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	ner.		
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) 💢	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign pr	riority under 35 l	J.S.C.	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) 🕽	$\overline{\langle}$ All b) \square Some* c) \square None of:			
	1. \square Certified copies of the priority documents hav	e been received.		
	2. \square Certified copies of the priority documents hav	e been received	in Ap	plication No
	3. X Copies of the certified copies of the priority de application from the International Burea	au (PCT Rule 17	.2(a)).	
_	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the			
14)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic			
a) ∟ 15\□		, ,		
15) 🗆	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 3:	J U.S.	.C. 33 120 dilu/01 121.
Attachm	ent(s) stice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sumr	nary (PT	O-413) Paper No(s)
_	otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)			nt Application (PTO-152)
	formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6) Other:		

Art Unit: 1616

Claims 1-18 are pending in this application which has been filed under 35 USC 371 as a national stage application of PCT/EP00/00469, filed January 22, 2000. This application lacks unity of invention under 37 CFR 1.475 (MPEP 1850, 1893.03(d)).

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claims 1-17, drawn to sulfonylurea compounds (see formula Ia, claim 3), compositions comprising them, and their use as herbicides or plant growth regulators.

Group II, claim 18, drawn to the use of phosphonium or sulfonium salts of polyalkoxylated hydrocarbyl compounds of formula XVIII.

The inventions listed as Groups I and II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: the alkoxylated compounds of Group II do not occur in the compositions or uses of Group I. There is no special technical feature which is common to both groups; the presence of phosphonium or sulfonium ions in the formulas of Ia and XVIII does not constitute a common core or special technical feature.

Application/Control Number: 09/890,086

Page 3

Art Unit: 1616

This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention of Group I. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species for Group I are as follows: any specific sulfonylurea compound (generically claimed in claims 1 and 2), or any specific sulfonylurea compound of formula Ia (as in claim 3) in which M⁺ is either phosphonium or sulfonium, the R^b group is a heterocyclyl (2-pyrimidinyl or triazinyl) radical, and the R^a group is on of the following:

II substituted sulfonylamino

III, IVb substituted phenyl

IVc substituted 2-pyridinyl

No species election is required for Group II.

If Group I is elected, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species (i.e., sulfonylurea compound) to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Application/Control Number: 09/890,086

Art Unit: 1616

99/890,086 Page 4

The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner: all claims are generic and correspond to each of the above species; no claim corresponds to any single species.

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept within Group I under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: divergent structural variations as indicated above vary the specificity, activity, and mode of action of the resulting active agents.

Another examiner had apparently made a telephone call to applicants' attorney, Samuel Megerditchian, on May 4, 2003, to restrict between three Groups, in which a formulation group was elected (described as a formulation comprising phosphonium or sulfonium salts); the file was subsequently transferred. However, the initial verbal restriction was inadequate, hence this restriction and election of species requirement is being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Art Unit: 1616

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to S. Mark Clardy whose telephone number is (703) 308-4550.

S. Mark Clardy

Primary Examiner AU 1616

March 5, 2003